Hi there!

I heard you didn't hear any complain about Whistler Aggregates and probably think everything is fine. I am forwarding my emails that I was sending in 2019 and in 2020.

July 4, 2019

Hi there!

Please, could you do something that people in Checkamus could sleep? I live in the building right behind Whistler Aggregate. They start working from 5 am. All these tracks use back signals. And there is constant noise "bip-bip-bip-bip" from 5 am. If they are allowed working at any time of the day and night, could they simply respect people who live around? Could trucks switch off these signals when they enter the Whistler Aggregate area?

More than it, Whistler Aggregate does not have a proper road. Their road is broken. When huge trucks go by this road, there is a lot of noise because of gaps on the way. And I do not talk about the dust. They provide material for building roads, but they do not have a proper road for themselves.

I am more than welcome to give someone a bed for a night at my place so this person could experience how we live here.

This so unrespectful...

Hope you can help! Thanks for your time!

Yulia Gladysheva 306-1310 Cloudburst dr

Jan 15 2020! This EMAIL WAS FORWARDED TO YOU by BYLAW! NEVER GOT AN ANSWER FROM YOU! GREAT JOB!

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Yulia Gladysheva** < Date: Wed, Jan 15, 2020 at 3:02 PM Subject: Re: Whistler Aggregates To: Bylaw Services <<u>BylawServices@whistler.ca</u>>, <<u>customerservice@whistler.ca</u>>

Hi there! Hope you are doing great!

I want to notice that only today - Jan 15th I saw 2 trucks coming from Whistler Aggregates through Legacy Way.

Would it be possible to have them the SIGN that they have to use the other road. There are a lot of kids in the neighborhood and it is simply dangerous.

Thanks. I attached a picture.

Yulia Gladysheva.

On Sun, Jul 28, 2019 at 9:27 PM Yulia Gladysheva < Hi there!

wrote:

Could you tell me please is it correct that The Muni and Bylaws sets the industrial noises? How is it possible to set the industrial noises from 7 am to 10 pm? Did you hear this noise from Whistler Aggregates at 7 am when they start milling rocks (oh what they do there?)? I live right behind them (1310 Cloudburst dr) but this noise you can hear through the whole neighbourhood because at 7 am it is very quiet? I am wondering is anybody lives in Checkamus who set the industrial noises from 7 am? Is it any difference from 7 am-noise from the construction zone?

No any respect for people. So sad. Do you have any suggestions for several hundred people living in Checkamus what to do? We sleep with closed windows during the summer, but it is not enough to stop this noise. What we have to do?

Thanks, Yulia Gladysheva 1310 Cloudburst Dr. From:Yulia GladyshevaTo:EngineersSubject:Fwd: Whistler AggregatesDate:Thursday, February 27, 2020 9:31:09 PMAttachments:IMG 7718.jpg

January 21, 2020 NEVER GOT AN ANSWER FROM YOU!

Hi there! I didn't receive any answer on my email. Today, the 21st of Jan 3! trucks during 20 minutes! drove from Whistler Aggregates using Legacy Way.

There are a lot of kids in the neighborhood!!!!!!!!

Are you going to do anything with it?

Thanks! Yulia Gladysheva 1310 Cloudburst dr

On Wed, Jan 15, 2020 at 3:02 PM Yulia Gladysheva < wrote: Hi there! Hope you are doing great!

I want to notice that only today - Jan 15th I saw 2 trucks coming from Whistler Aggregates through Legacy Way.

Would it be possible to have them the SIGN that they have to use the other road. There are a lot of kids in the neighborhood and it is simply dangerous.

Thanks. I attached a picture.

Yulia Gladysheva.

From:	Yulia Gladysheva	
To:	Engineers	
Subject:	Re: Whistler Aggregates	
Date:	Thursday, February 27, 2020 9:32:08 PM	
Attachments:	IMG 7752.jpg	
	<u>IMG 7718.jpg</u>	

January 24, 2020 Still great job! NEVER GOT AN ANSWER!

Hi There!

As I know Bylaw Services forwarded my email to Engineering Dept however I never received any emails.

This is my third email as a problem hasn't solved yet. Today the 24th of Jan I saw a truck coming from Whistler Aggregates through Legacy Way. There are a lot of kids in the neighborhood. On top of that the road is way narrow because of the snow in the sides of the road. The gave the other road to drive. If they do not understand it, could you put the sign for them that they can't turn to Legacy way.

Thanks! Yulia Gladysheva 1310 Cloudburst dr.

On Tue, Jan 21, 2020 at 2:36 PM Bylaw Services <<u>BylawServices@whistler.ca</u>> wrote:

Hello Yulia,

The RMOW Engineering Dept. is responsible for Municipal road signage. Bylaw Services had forwarded your email to the Engineering Dept. and asked them to respond directly to you.

You may follow up with them if you wish, engineers@whistler.ca

Best regards,

Bylaw Services

RESORT MUNICIPALITY OF WHISTLER

4325 Blackcomb Way

Whistler BC V8E 0X5

Tel: 604 935 8280

Email: bylawservices@whistler.ca

From: Yulia Gladysheva Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2020 2:07 PM

To: Bylaw Services; Customer Service **Subject:** Re: Whistler Aggregates

Hi there! I didn't receive any answer on my email. Today, the 21st of Jan 3! trucks during 20 minutes! drove from Whistler Aggregates using Legacy Way.

There are a lot of kids in the neighborhood!!!!!!!!

Are you going to do anything with it?

Thanks! Yulia Gladysheva <u>1310 Cloudburst dr</u>

On Wed, Jan 15, 2020 at 3:02 PM Yulia Gladysheva

> wrote:

Hi there! Hope you are doing great!

I want to notice that only today - Jan 15th I saw 2 trucks coming from Whistler Aggregates through Legacy Way.

Would it be possible to have them the SIGN that they have to use the other road. There are a lot of kids in the neighborhood and it is simply dangerous.

Thanks. I attached a picture. Yulia Gladysheva. 2 On Sun, Jul 28, 2019 at 9:27 PM Yulia Gladysheva wrote: Hi there! Could you tell me please is it correct that The Muni and Bylaws sets the industrial noises? How is it possible to set the industrial noises from 7 am to 10 pm? Did you hear this noise from Whistler Aggregates at 7 am when they start milling rocks (oh what they do there?)? I live right behind them (1310 Cloudburst dr) but this noise you can hear through the whole neighbourhood because at 7 am it is very quiet? I am wondering is anybody lives in Checkamus who set the industrial noises from 7 am? Is it any difference from 7 am-noise from the construction zone? No any respect for people. So sad. Do you have any suggestions for

	reral hundred people living in Checkamus what to do? We sleep with sed windows during the summer, but it is not enough to stop this
noi	se. What we have to do?
Tha	anks,
	ia Gladysheva
	10 Cloudburst Dr.
On	Sun, Jul 28, 2019 at 10:12 AM Yulia Gladysheva
	wrote:
>	
	hanks. I am sure this guy has a full pocket of money to sleep in a better place. Goo him. I believe one day he will understand his impact on the community.
>	
	on Sun, Jul 28, 2019 at 10:05 AM Bylaw Services < <u>BylawServices@whistler.ca</u> > wro
>>	
	Hello Yulia,
>>	
>>	
	The bylaw applies to 7 days a week.
>>	
>>	Decende
	Regards,
>>	
>>	Bylaw Services
	Dylaw Services
>>	
>>	
	RESORT MUNICIPALITY OF WHISTLER
>>	
	<u>4325 Blackcomb Way</u>
>>	
	Whistler BC VON 1B4
>>	
	Tel: 604 935 8280
>>	
	Email: <u>bylawservices@whistler.ca</u>

>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> From: Yulia Gladysheva >> Sent: Sunday, July 28, 2019 7:06 AM >> To: Bylaw Services >> Subject: Re: Whistler Aggregates >> >> Thank you! >> I have the other question. Are they allowed to work on Saturdays and Sundays? Yesterday and today (27th and 28th of July) they were working from 7 am. >> >> Thanks! >> Yulia >> >> >> On Wed, Jul 17, 2019 at 10:25 AM Bylaw Services <<u>BylawServices@whistler.ca</u>> wrote: >>> >>> Hello Yulia, >>> >>> >>> >>> Thank you for your email. The Noise Bylaw prohibits noise prior to 7am and after 10pm, in this area. >>> >>> Therefor there would not be any Bylaw infraction if the noise began at 7am. >>> >>> >>> >>> Best regards, >>> >>> Bylaw Services >>> >>>

>>> >>> RESORT MUNICIPALITY OF WHISTLER >>> >>> 4325 Blackcomb Way >>> >>> Whistler BC VON 1B4 >>> >>> Tel: 604 935 8280 >>> >>> Email: bylawservices@whistler.ca >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> From: Yulia Gladysheva >>> Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 7:43 AM >>> To: Bylaw Services; Customer Service >>> Subject: Re: Whistler Aggregates >>> >>> Hi there! >>> This is the noise from Whistler aggregate at 7 am >>> Why this company has no respect for people? Why nobody can do anything? Is it a different country with their personal law? How-is-it-possible? >>> And nothing was changed after my previous email. >>> I attached the video so you can here it. ->>> https://vimeo.com/348616693 >>> >>> Thanks! >>> >>> Yulia Gladysheva >>> 306-<u>1310 Cloudburst dr</u> >>> >>> >>> >>> On Thu, Jul 4, 2019 at 10:05 AM Yulia Gladysheva

wrote: >>>> >>>> Hi there! >>>> >>>> Please, could you do something that people in Checkamus could sleep? >>>> I live in the building right behind Whistler Aggregate. They start working from 5 am. All these tracks use back signals. And there is constant noise "beep-beep-beepbeep" from 5 am. If they are allowed working at any time of the day and night, could they simply respect people who live around? Could trucks switch off these signals when they enter the Whistler Aggregate area? >>>> >>>> More than it, Whistler Aggregate does not have a proper road. Their road is broken. When huge trucks go by this road, there is a lot of noise because of gaps on the way. And I do not talk about the dust. They provide material for building roads, but they do not have a proper road for themselves. >>>> >>>> I am more than welcome to give someone a bed for a night at my place so this person could experience how we live here. >>>> >>>> This so unrespectful... >>>> >>>> Hope you can help! >>>> Thanks for your time! >>>> >>>> Yulia Gladysheva >>> 306-<u>1310 Cloudburst dr</u> >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> This e-mail is a public record of the Resort Municipality of Whistler and is subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act legislation. This email is subject to the Resort Municipality of Whistler's Corporate Records Bylaw and Retention Schedule. The information contained in this email is intended only for the named recipients to whom it is addressed. Its contents, including any attachments, may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. Disclosure of this email to an unintended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete or destroy the message, including any attachments.

>>> >>>

>>>

>>>

>>> This e-mail is a public record of the Resort Municipality of Whistler and is subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act legislation. This email is subject to the Resort Municipality of Whistler's Corporate Records Bylaw and Retention Schedule. The information contained in this email is intended only for the named recipients to whom it is addressed. Its contents, including any attachments, may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. Disclosure of this email to an unintended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete or destroy the message, including any attachments.

>>

- >>
- >>_ >>

>> This e-mail is a public record of the Resort Municipality of Whistler and is subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act legislation. This email is subject to the Resort Municipality of Whistler's Corporate Records Bylaw and Retention Schedule. The information contained in this email is intended only for the named recipients to whom it is addressed. Its contents, including any attachments, may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. Disclosure of this email to an unintended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete or destroy the message, including any attachments.

This e-mail is a public record of the Resort Municipality of Whistler and is subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act legislation. This email is subject to the Resort Municipality of Whistler's Corporate Records Bylaw and Retention Schedule. The information contained in this email is intended only for the named recipients to whom it is addressed. Its contents, including any attachments, may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. Disclosure of this email to an unintended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete or destroy the message, including any attachments.

From:	Craig Hill
To:	Engineers
Subject:	Whitewater resident unhappy with asphalt plant
Date:	Wednesday, February 26, 2020 9:05:28 PM

Hello,

I apologize for not being able to make the meeting re: 2020 Asphalt Procurement at the Delta on Feb 25. I very much wanted to attend but had to work late.

I completely understand that the RMOW is looking to be as fiscally responsible as possible, and as a tax payer in this community for 20+ years I appreciate that. Stating that the total amount of money spent on trucking asphalt from Squamish over the past 9 years is \$456K seems like alot of money, but that averages out to just over \$50K per year, which is a drop in the bucket of the annual budget. How much would it have cost to for the RMOW to pay to move the plant in 2011 when it was an option? I'll bet \$456K would have gone along ways to getting it moved and we would not be sitting here in the same situation 9-10 years later. I appreciate the effort to avoid the production in Cheakamus, but trucking it in from Squamish was a short sighted decision.

As a resident of Cheakamus Crossing since 2010, I am insulted when I hear that the air quality monitoring shows the particulate levels are within the provincial standards. These numbers are averaged over 24 hr periods of time yet the plant never operates on that schedule. I have lost track of the number of times we have smelt the horrible scent of asphalt being produced (from my home in Whitewater) and had a black, dusty substance collect on our patio furniture and windows; several dozen times at least.

It sucks to walk your dog early at 6:30am on a warm sunny morning only to see the smoke billowing up out of the asphalt plant.

There is mention of the prevailing winds coming from the west in Cheakamus. I am not an expert, but I would agree in part, as I know for a fact the majority of our weather patterns come from the south-west. The Heights, the Terrace, the new WHA rental building and Basalt developments are directly east of the plant. How can anyone say this is not an issue?? The rest of the Cheakamus Crossing neighbourhood is to the north-east of the plant. This is a major problem! The gentleman giving the presentation to council brushes this off as though it is not significant..... this is absolutely significant.

Not once since Cheakamus Crossing has been occupied has there ever been a mayor or a council member or an RMOW engineer reside in Cheakamus. Please trust us when we tell you that the air quality sucks when that plant operates as well as days when it is just the gravel quarry. The amount of dust in the hot summer months is shocking.

I would vote 100% of the time to spend \$50K per year am baffled by the RMOW's The increased traffic from heavy trucks (empty and full) is not appropriate for our residential neighbourhood. It is dangerous and it is polluting (noise, exhaust, visual) in its own right. It is a matter of time before a dog or a child is injured by a passing truck. It is matter of time before a careless truck driver with a heavy load causes a serious accident in a residential neighbourhood.

Having an asphalt plant immediately next to Cheakamus Crossing is a black mark on an otherwise terrific olympic legacy. Trucking asphalt up from Squamish is also not a good long term solution. Find a way to move that plant and quarry.

thank you Craig Hill

1169 Whitewater Drive

From:	<u>Elizabeth</u>	
To:	Engineers	
Subject:	Asphalt plant	
Date:	Thursday, February 27, 2020 5:23:52 PM	

Already written to the Mayor. I have very little time to spend at a council meeting, so missed yesterday's event, but was told you haven't received a complaint since 2011. I find this EXTREMELY hard to believe. Just go to the Cheakamus Facebook page if you really want to learn.

Anyway, this is what I wrote and sent to Jack Crompton:

Hi Mr. Mayor. I'm a resident of Cheakamus Crossing and am loosely following the debate as to whether the Muni will start getting the asphalt from Whistler rather than trucking it in from Squamish. I am a business owner, have lived in Whistler for over 20 years, and a mother of three young children. I can appreciate the pros and cons from a cost perspective and even an emissions perspective trucking asphalt up the highway. However, as far as I'm concerned, no amount of debate over costs, emissions or pollutants matters when at the end of the day, the smell coming from the asphalt plant when it is in use, is enough to make you want to run inside, close your windows and doors or simply get out of Cheakamus. It smells terrible and is unpleasant for anyone. The fact that the neighborhood is teeming with children, outdoor activities, a park, a world-class soccer pitch etc should be reason enough to revisit the idea of MOVING the asphalt plant altogether.

The trucks speed into the neighborhood, constantly over the speed limit over the bridge and the increased traffic to and from the plant and quarry is already worrisome, let alone more asphalt being produced which will create even more traffic.

At the end of the day, you can argue whether or not the emissions are at a "standard" level, it's just simply inappropriate to have that type of business in our backyard. Do what's right. No price tag is worth a child's health or life (when they get hit by a truck).

Thanks for your time, Elizabeth Harris

1101 Whitewater Drive

From:	Yulia Gladysheva	
To:	Engineers	
Subject:	Whistler Aggregate	
Date:	Thursday, February 27, 2020 9:37:35 PM	

I am sorry but this is such a SHAME knowing that you can move this guy to the other place and do not do it. Well MONEY is more important than health and safety a thousand people. Right? What a big deal. I believe you would change your ind if you live here.

Soccer field is a great addition to our neighborhood. Come and see how it looks like in the dust because of the trucks in the summer. SHAME!

From:	Dan Wilson	
To:	Tammy Shore P.Eng.	
Subject:	GHG and Trucks	
Date:	Thursday, February 27, 2020 1:32:07 PM	
Attachments:	Screenshot 2020-02-27 at 12.31.51 PM.png ATT00001.c	

Hi Tammy

Sorry I couldn't make it to the open house. I hope there was a good turnout.

I did see this photo of one of the posters and well there may be very good reason to move the Asphalt production to Whistler I believe the "Value" (As determined by the amount of messaging) being attributed to greenhouse gas emission reductions is far too high. Additionally I think the poster misrepresents the facts.

Scope three emissions attributable to the transportation and production of goods to Whistler is not part of Whistler's GHG inventory and so therefore it cannot be the reason Whistler is not meeting targets. If the truck trips are included at all, it would only be within Whistler's boundaries and if so I also suspect that the number of heavy duty vehicles on the road and travel distances would be about the same no matter where the Asphalt comes from. Additionally 270 tonnes over the years on the poster are extremely minimal.

So why care? 1) I think information should always be as accurate as possible 2) Pulling in GHG to this discussion runs the risk of a climate change versus air quality debate which it should not. I think that putting GHG front and centre with \$ savings as seems to the case also does a disservice to the real climate change and greenhouse gas reduction work related to paid parking funding expanded transit etc.

This Cheakamus issue remains a real or perceived trade off between dollars and cents and air quality. I know very little about those two topics but I do know we need to have substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. Any threat to that effort will certainly come back to bite us! :) Hence my concern.

Anyhow, just my thoughts on the topic. No need to share with anyone unless you think they are helpful. :) if Any of my comments are inaccurate I'm happy to adjust my opinion. :)

With utmost respect,

Dan

Commitment to the environment

- RMOW Council is committed to reducing GHG emissions.
- Whistler is currently not on track to meet its GHG reduction targets.
- Increasing vehicle travel by both passenger vehicles and medium- and heavy-duty trucks are the main reason Whistler is off track to targets (explains 85% of the total gap to Whistler's 2020 targets).
- RMOW is currently developing goals and strategies to reduce the number of cars and trucks on the road.

1.

ACTOR MUNICIPALITY OF AMOUNT

From:	Daniel Irvine	
To:	Engineers	
Subject:	Cheakamus Asphalt Plant	
Date:	Thursday, February 27, 2020 8:23:45 PM	

I find the location and active nature of the plant to be sheer lunacy. It's idiotic that a world class location like Whistler can't find a suitable location for such a plant. I can smell the fumes on some of the active days - I don't believe there's adequate dispersion. I also find the heavy industrial traffic running round Cheakamus to be an extra risk that our kids don't need. We are SO much better than this. We live in a fantastic community, why can't we show leadership in DOING THE RIGHT THING and not just chasing least cost options.

Thank-you for listening

Daniel Irvine

Sent from my iPhone

Hello,

I was unable to attend the meeting on Wednesday.

I live in Cheakamus and it is crazy you don't just move the asphalt part of the plant to another area that is not in a residential neighbourhood. There were several acceptable options last time this came up. There are even more people living down here now.

Do the right thing for a change and stop hanging ashphalt being made in a neighbourhood. Move it to a proper location and then you will also save money by not getting it brought in from Squamish.

Thanks Kerri 1128 whitewater drive

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jane MacPhail	
To:	Engineers	
Cc:	Jack Crompton	
Subject:	Asphalt Sourcing Meeting	
Date:	Saturday, February 29, 2020 11:42:29 AM	

We attended the meeting on Wednesday and noticed that all Engineering staff appeared very nervous & councillors (except Dwayne & the Mayor)) looked tentative.

Engineering staff appeared to be having great difficulty trying to put a positive spin on why there should be increased usage of the asphalt plant. It also appears that they were attempting to justify a pre-determined agenda.

Please note we are NOT in favour of the RMOW sourcing asphalt from the CC plant. We do NOT need more air pollution (regardless of what your "monitor" reads), we do NOT need more big trucks in the neighbourhood. NO NO NO

This neighbourhood is a jewel & we love living here amongst local employees, retirees & many families.

We are concerned about our air quality etc. We know what we hear, smell & feel from the operation of both the asphalt plant & gravel pit. We also know that it is affecting the quality of life of the residents of our community. In a perfect world neither would exist near this neighbourhood.

We want Mayor & Council to find another solution for GHG emissions without sacrificing our neighbourhood.

Jane MacPhail

Hello Engineers at RMOW,

Please accept this as my formal complaint that I am vehemently opposed to the asphalt plant operating for any purpose in it's current Cheakamus Crossing location. I find it to be noisy and polluting and not conducive to healthy, full-time living and recreating.

I have attached a PDF file outlining my concerns, as well as many concerns of other residents in my community.

I look forward to your reply that this complaint has been read, logged and considered.

Thank you, Juniper Buller Saturday, February 29, 2020

To the RMOW Engineering Department:

Re Asphalt Procurement from Whistler Plant in Cheakamus Crossing

I am a homeowner on Whitewater Drive in Cheakamus Crossing. My husband and I purchased our townhome as they were being built in 2008 and moved in September 2010. We felt lucky to be able to move to a new community, and felt we could take Mayor Ken Melamed's word when he told a large gathering of us in 2010 in the High Performance Centre lobby that the asphalt plant would be moved. We were dismayed, disheartened, and all the other dis-adjectives you can think of to find that our community and municipal efforts resulted in where we are today, again, pleading our case that the asphalt plant should be moved. The system of listening to the residents of the community seems to have failed us in 2011 and is about to again.

In light of the meeting held on Wednesday, February 26, 2020 I feel the need to point out the following:

- Air quality. I used to be the Site Operator for the High Alpine Air Quality Lab on Whistler Mountain (2001-2019). Councillor De Jong asked me about my experience with air quality to which I cannot comment as my job was to assist in collection of data and maintaining instrumentation, not to analyze it. I do know that accurate data collection & analysis requires monitoring of equipment and lab analysis by biochemists. It would be interesting to have Environment Canada do a study of the air quality in Cheakamus Crossing to the same standards that we use at the Peak of Whistler Mountain. You could start by contacting Michael Wheeler at Environment Canada at <u>michael.wheeler@canada.ca</u>.
- 2. I asked Mayor Crompton on Wednesday evening about GHG emissions targets from the poster board display apparently we are not on track to meet targets set out by last year's task force. I understand that making choices to reduce GHG emission is paramount to a healthy future for our planet. However, I would rather see single use plastic drink bottles banned than compromise the health of the Cheakamus Crossing residents AND VISITORS.
- 3. With all the recreation in this community...the High Performance Centre, the soccer pitch, the Athletes' Centre Lodge, community fitness classes, the Sea-to-Sky Trail, all the trails, Train Wreck...it isn't just a resident concern, it should be a concern for all sport & tourism in Whistler. More trucks on the Jane Lake Road is going to kill someone or their kid or their dog, it's just a matter of time.
- 4. As far as I know, not a single councillor or mayor has ever lived in Cheakamus Crossing. I have a dog and own my own business located in this neighbourhood. I trail run, mountain bike and hike the Riverside trail daily, year-round. I walk to work. I spend early morning dog walks in Bayly Park. I have often (but unfortunately have not documented, so it is my word against yours) smelled toxic fumes, seen dark clouds of emissions and

heard loud noises, grumbling noises, beeping noises and general industrial noise and all times of the day. Every time I hear and see it, it ruins my otherwise peaceful appreciation of the place that I call home.

- 5. Just because complaints about the asphalt plant have declined since 2011 does not mean the problem has gone away. This point is completely unsubstantiated after asking who keeps track of complaints. It seems there is no protocol. Who is keeping track of complaints and where is the data? Will I get an auto-reply from engineers@whistler.ca when I send this complaint? How will I be able to track it and refer to it later when I need to? When I worked in the WB Maintenance Department 2000-2008 (when it was the number one ski resort in North America), every single call was logged and over the years we were able to categorize & analyze everything that had been done. It's not hard, I know your IT Dept has the capability to do this.
- 6. I don't even want to bring this up but I can't not say it: Mayor Crompton has approved (and seems to think he has justified) building new washroom facilities in Whistler for about \$3,000,000. Now he is concerned about \$50,000 in trucking fees. I find it impossible to comprehend the logic. And the prior mayor spent \$7,000,000 on a bus shelter, made of wood SHIPPED TO BC FROM GERMANY! But you couldn't purchase land and pay to move the asphalt plant to a better suited location away from a community of 1,000 residents (that may or may not ever use the bus shelter or washrooms in the village). It is very obvious to me where the priorities are and it honestly makes me want to sell my townhouse and move.
- 7. I have heard that you will not be monitoring posts on Facebook. Why bury your head in the sand? I can't understand why? The RMOW is making podcasts and apps and Mayor Crompton is giving out his cell phone number and telling people (on Facebook!) to text him, but you're then not even monitoring it? How about putting your ear to the ground to get an idea of what is actually going on in the community instead of pretending everything is A-OK? For your convenience, I have taken the time to cut & paste them all for you. You can read them below.

Screen shots from the Cheakamus Crossing Facebook Closed Group as of 9:20 pm Friday February 28, 2020:

f Cheakamus Crossing

```
Find Frier
Home
```

Cheakamus Crossing Private group	Hello dear neighbors! Sorry to make a separate post for that (), but I wanted to be able to attach more pictures from the event to my post. I went to the meeting yesterday and was so very surprised to see the little	
Discussion	number of residents of Cheakamus representing the neighborhood I was expecting a different reaction to a proposal, which is going to have an effect	
Members Events Videos	 even more to the environment in the neighborhood for our kids mostly and for us as well. The municipality is going towards a decision to be taken in exactly the opposite direction of the health interest of all the residents. Please, see the pictures attached for what was introduced as an information from the Municipality. In addition was mentioned that the wind direction is for our "very good luck 	
Photos Files	usually not in the direction of the neighborhood", no official data in days, numbers, statistics, etc. Another interesting fact mentioned was, that since 2011 there was only 1	
Recommendations Watch Party	recorded complaint from a resident of the neighborhood. There was no provided data on the development of the neighborhood in a kind of numbers. Nether that there is a new residential building with famili and 25 kids in 50 metres range from the plant + another building of the sa	
Search this group Q Shortcuts Cheakamus Crossing BC RMT	character to be finished in a few months. I was very surprised by the selection of the information to be presented on the posters, as it was very one sided, directed only in one interest and without any information on the changes of the residential status of the neighborhood in the last 9 years. From the presentation made by the Municipality it looked like we (the residents in the very new buildings in the neighborhood, closest to the plant, don't exist and/or we don't matter. Another conclusion from the meeting I had was, although the Facebook activity is still some reaction, it will not have any effect on the Municipality decision until there are no official complaints and emails sent to the Municipality email addresses. The email where you can send your concerns or complaints about that	
	particular matter is engineers@whistler.ca	





We attended the muni meeting yesterday in the village regarding the asphalt plant. We asked why the public meeting wasn't held in our neighbourhood instead of the village. Please make sure to submit your feedback/comments to engineers@whistler.ca . They are saying they haven't received any complaints since 2011. In order to consider your feedback, it needs to be sent to this email address: engineers@whistler.ca .Please take the time within the next week to send a few words. Thanks everyone.



You are wasting you time, just read the comment from There are people who don't care about the air quality in their own neighborhood, they haven't done any real research at all and they won't support anybody who stands for the right thing.

They are plenty of other areas where the quarry and the asphalt plant could be relocated.

Like · Reply · 1d

The answer from the person who did the presentation was that the government refused for the plant to be moved, as well as when they did the renewal in 2017 the government new about the building going to open 50 metres from it.

Like · Reply · 1d

Not only did the ministry renew the lease in 2017 we now see that they also expanded the size of the quarry by almost double. Mining appears to be more important to our government that human health and enjoyment of private homes.

Like Reply 1d

The reality is that residents don't react. And everyone has their own reasons for that - people tried in the past, things didn't work out, so they gave up. Other people are here for short time so they don't care. Other people are not informed at all what is happening in the neighborhood. I can definitely confirm that for the people at our building. Most of them don't even know that this noisy and dusty thing right next to the building is an asphalt plant. Most of the residents here thing, that it's just a gravel pit, as we did in the beginning when we moved in.

So many reasons, but one reaction - no activity on the matter. Compare to the very well organized business, who is very well informed (having people in the group here), persistent and consistent with what they want and how they want to achieve it The only answer is what they should have done in the first place which was to pay to move it to a non-residential area (i believe there were a couple of suitable sites) Then its a winwin.....no bad air in the neighbourhood and asphalt not being trucked from Squamish.....year after year, bad decision after bad decision and still we are in the same position......clean air in the neighbourhood is still worth fighting for! Email sent....

600 5

Like · Reply · 1d

		one if it was here
-	in CC.	002
	Like - Reply - 1d	
	Please, write this to the municip	pality.
	Like - Reply - 17h	
9	In January I sent 3 email to ByLaw about trucks driving from Plant through Legacy Way. were forwarded to engineers@whistler.ca . Never go Just forwarded them all emails I wrote before. Jack Crompton	These emails
	Like · Reply · 23h · Edited	O 1
	Thanks for the post - it's true - I stopp after a while as it seemed I just kept getting g the sar air quality meter on the athlete's center is registering acceptable limits - you can check it anytime you like	me answer. "The within
	Just my humble opinion and not based on science be Pucky". There's no acceptable level of this kind of po to our kids.	
	Like · Reply · 16h · Edited	03
	The RMOW said that they do not monitor comments or feedback on Facebook. The only comp read or record are by email.	
	Lika - Donly - 19h	1

Yesterday at 12:25 PM

In support of what **and the second se**

Statements such as *there has only been one complaint* conveniently omits mention of the fact that in general the RMOW is tone deaf to input from the public they were elected to serve. If anything, the RMOW has made it extremely difficult for the public to submit a complaint. The typical response I have gotten (or not gotten) when I have attempted to notify the RMOW of a significant risk to property or a significant safety issue is no response let alone even acknowledgement to the emails I sent to various departments. This allows the RMOW to posture that no one complained.

...

Like	Reply 1d
0	Jack Crompton 16043889588. I am quicker by text.
	Like · Reply · 1d
	Jack Crompton thank you
	Like · Reply · 1d
	Jack Crompton Is it possible to have an email address where we can direct reach you, so not to bother you on your cell? Thank you!
	Like Reply 17h

AM

CHEAKAMUS CROSSING RESIDENTS

RMOW Asphalt Procurement Presentation

Some points presented in favour of the Muni procuring their asphalt from the CC plant:

-Air quality monitoring has been continuous since 2011 & results available online.

-2016 summary report found no correlation between plant operation & particulate concentration in the neighbourhood.

-Normal wind direction does not bring asphalt plant exhaust towards CC.

-Air quality guidelines were only exceeded during forest fires.

-Concerns about air quality in CC have been very few since 2012 when the plant was upgraded.

-Whistler plant operates on an average of 48 days per year. Squamish plant supplies asphalt to RMOW on average 15 days per year.

-RMOW Council is committed to reducing GHG emissions.

(These are just a few points that I can remember but probably can be viewed on their website. Note that these are not my opinions).

CC residents attending the meeting were outnumbered by RMOW staff, Mayor & Council & truck drivers. Unfortunately the time & location was not convenient for many residents to attend. It was suggested to have another meeting in the evening in CC but it's doubtful this will happen.

By not trucking asphalt up from Squamish & getting it from the Whistler plant, RMOW would reduce GHG emmissions. However this means increased operation at the CC plant & more trucks in the neighbourhood.

...

If you are concerned about increased asphalt plant production, please send your comments to engineers@whistler.ca. The comments will also be forwarded to Mayor & Council.

Please note that the gravel pit & asphalt plant operation fall under Provincial legislation not RMOW. However the fewer users of the plant will add to a better neighbourhood environment.

5		26 Comments
	Like	
htt	Not sure I agree ps://vimeo.com/204966068 J	about the wind direction! lack Crompton
Lik	e · Reply · 1d · Edited	
-	Hide 17 Replies	
		ght in the middle of the smoke is the h was not there at the time and one as than an year
	Like · Reply · 1d · Edited	
		est from a consular from the as pictures and video materials to be tler.ca
	Like · Reply · 1d · Edited	



with the pictures you took of the presentation, was there any reference to the type of emissions from the plant? (from the article I posted ~ That third category of air pollutants is of concern to many. PAHs, or polyaromatic hydrocarbons, are a class of these harmful chemicals, which includes benzene and naphthalene.

"Those are very toxic to human health. They're carcinogens, they're reproductive toxins, some of them are neurotoxins," sais Moench.

The Spanish Valley plant's approval order from the Division of Air Quality lists fifteen substances in its emissions summary table, including benzene, naphthalene, and volatile organic compounds, or VOCs)

Like Reply 1d

when governments claim that air or drinking water "meets air or water quality standards" they are referring to rigged standards. When I was a member and spokesperson for the UBC based environmental association they had drinking water that met drinking water standards and it was loaded with pesticides and toxic metals. But the water met the drinking water standards which in my opinion are a con.

Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

agree... just trying to provide points to present to RMOW ... really ... an operating asphalt plant that close to an 'Olympic legacy' resident village? They lost the lawsuit put on some restrictions and now, "Hey, let's just go backwards with this - none of us live down there"

Ū	t's a case of mind over matter; the politicians don't mind (read; care) that we don't matter. I call it the Boeing Business Model.
	Like · Reply · 1d
	I have taken pictures from all the posters of presentation. There was 0 information on the emissions, 0 information on how close is the closest residential building, 0 information on any affect to the community. There was no shown any kind of care for the residents. One of the information related to residents was that there was 1 complaint for the last 9 years, when I personally have made two.
	Like · Reply · 1d · Edited
	l believe that the information was carefully selected & some not reflective of today's community & emissions.
	Like Reply 1d
	I don't want to be hard with my opinion, but just saying the facts I witnessed at the meeting.
	Like · Reply · 1d
	The implied message I got from the meeting is that the residents of Cheakamus Crossing are less important than an industrial operation.
	Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

Everyone in CC should get involved with comments, experience & recommendations to the RMOW. The mix of industrial zoning/operations have no place in a growing residential community promoted by the muni itself. The ultimate solution is to relocate the plant, for the health & welfare of our CC community & greater Whistler.

Like Reply 1d

check out this news story from Moab/2019 residents will need to compile facts about exposure to the particulates and long-term possible impacts. The main responsibility of Mayor and Council is the welfare of the residents. "Old" information was used to formulate decisions on the crumb rubber infill that was almost guaranteed to be be used on the turf field had I not argued the science that was on-going during the decision-making process. I can not believe that the RMOW went to court to have the plant moved - and now this? Shameful

http://www.moabsunnews.com/.../article_a7f2fe26-1d41-11ea...



MOABSUNNEWS.COM

Residents fear airborne pollutants from asphalt plant

1 4

i

1 2

Like · Reply · 1d

Just wait until the people that paid 1.4-2 million for a townhouse move into Basalt and get to experience the asphalt plant at full blast. That's when things will change.

Like Reply 1d

Good to get comments on here but to be effective we have to email our opinions & comments into RMOW. Let them know we're not in favour of increasing the plants use before they make a decision. By then it will be too late!

You all need to go together to a council meeting and ask questions and have your voices heard at Q and A. For the RMOW to suggest that there have been so few complaints that can not be true ?
Like - Reply - 1d
Mayor Jack Crompton 16043889588. "I am quicker by text." It's difficult to find time to sit through Council Meetings, but perhaps some comments via text would be effective?
Like Reply 1d
Engineering department is collecting the emails & Jack assured me that he & Council would get all the comments.
Like Reply 1d
Thank-you for the post.
If RMOW can purchase land as with the Parkhurst property for parkland and the Emerald home for trail access surely there are options to relocate the plant. Cheakamus Crossing will be a very large subdivision in years to come. It's time to start thinking about our future. On a personal level I have concerns about the organic community garden and the dust that is deposited there.
Like - Reply - 1w

Thank you for listening, I eagerly await confirmation that this complaint has been received.

Juniper Buller

From:	DAVID M MACPHAIL
To:	Engineers
Cc:	<u>Council</u>
Subject:	Asphalt Procurement
Date:	Monday, March 02, 2020 5:23:42 PM

I am strongly opposed to any increase in the activity of the industrial use of the asphalt site or any industrial use within proximity of the Cheakamus Crossing residential development. It is unacceptable to me to compromise the quality of life of the residents of Cheakamus Crossing by exposing them to noise pollution and toxins such as silica particles in dust from rock crushing activity.

In a molten state, asphalt generates toxic fumes. Workers exposed to asphalt fumes are at risk of developing headaches, rashes, cough, and possibly cancer. There is no OSHA standard for asphalt fumes. The complex chemical composition of asphalt makes it difficult to identify the specific component(s) responsible for adverse health effects observed in exposed workers. In consideration of this fact, it is unacceptable to expose any person, let alone children and the immunocompromised to asphalt fumes.

It is also unacceptable to me to increase the traffic of large trucks with the associated dust pollution and risk of injury to those who use the roads and recreational facilities and trail network.

Yours truly, David MacPhail Cheakamus Crossing

From:	Clayton Dowling
To:	Engineers
Cc:	Council
Subject:	Asphalt Plant
Date:	Monday, March 09, 2020 6:53:13 AM

To Whom it May Concern,

I'm writing to express my strong opposition to any use of the area in Checkamus Crossing for industrial purposes. In my opinion this is a situation that should never have been allowed to happen. With a neighborhood full of small children and young families how can it possibly make sense to have this (or any) type of industrial activity on our doorstep. Air quality indicators may say one thing - but tell that to the residents that have to smell (and hear) the pollution. You'd feel the same if you lived here. There is no argument that supports this use of land so close to a community such as ours. Please make the change that should have been made prior to 2010 and get rid of this plant/quarry now. There is no acceptable amount of this kind of pollution in our kids proximity. Fix it.

Best Regards,

Clayton Dowling Owner/Project Manager Resort Renewal Services Inc

From:	Tammy Shore P.Eng.
To:	Jim Dunlop; Ambre Marquie; Denise Taveira
Cc:	James Hallisey
Subject:	RE: A complaint reagrding the Asphalt Plant in Cheakamus neighbourhood
Date:	Wednesday, April 29, 2020 1:43:14 PM

Jim - thanks for this.

Ambre and Denise - can you please file these in the T001 folder for asphalt sourcing/resident comments.

Thanks,

Tammy

Tammy Shore P.Eng. RESORT MUNICIPALITY OF WHISTLER Office: 604-935-8305 Cell: 604-815-8495

-----Original Message-----From: Engineers Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2020 1:35 PM To: Tammy Shore P.Eng. <tshore@whistler.ca>; James Hallisey <JHallisey@whistler.ca> Subject: FW: A complaint reagrding the Asphalt Plant in Cheakamus neighbourhood

Not sure if you are wanting these emails or not but thought I would pass along this resident's concerns that came to the Engineers inbox. Cheers, Jim

-----Original Message-----From: Rali Niko Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2020 9:27 AM To: Jack Crompton Subject: Re: A complaint reagrding the Asphalt Plant in Cheakamus neighbourhood

Hello Mayor Crompton,

Thank you very much for your answer!

My apologies if I have misunderstood your words from our chat. English is not my first language, although your words had a reflection on me and that is why I have decided to include the conversation (by my understanding) in the email to you.

Definitely a chat on the street can not be a "legitimate dialog" on such an important issue. Unfortunately after the official meeting I had to rush back to work, as it was the busiest time of the day for my shop, so I couldn't get involved in after meeting conversations with Municipality members.

That is also reminding me to say - there is a need for a properly organized meeting, with an appropriate time (at least one member of a family can take some time around 6/6.30) and location, so residents of the neighbourhood can get involved. That should also include door to door advertisement of the event. Advert in the news paper is not enough. Random publication in Facebook as well. A lot of people are extremely busy with families and our lunch brakes are usually half an hour - definitely not enough time to "scroll" trough a news paper, if available at all....

I would like to say that my impression is that the Municipality with your lead and with your previous participation as a councillor is doing a tremendous amount of work and improvements, which are very visible. As I am here since 2008, can see that. Your care, hard work and passion for your job (which is more like a mission) are easy to see. We do appreciate that very much!

We are Grateful to the Municipality for all the efforts to provide more housing. We appreciate that we have a secure and proper space housing for our family. Because of the health concerning conditions though, it can feel a little bit like a trap...

The Municipality's "Job" it's not only about managing projects, taking care of infrastructure, finding a low costs, programming budgets, supporting businesses, etc. All these needs to be done with one purpose - "managing" the residents quality of life. Every decision made by the Municipality is reflecting on the quality of life of the people from the community! It is reflecting on every home in Whistler. And in this/our case it's about the most important quality - the one of healthy living environment.

As far as I know the Municipality supported the Community in fighting the plant in 2011, but now is taking exactly the opposite direction. If you take the direction in supporting Cheakamus community with consistency and give opportunity to residents to voice up on that problem, it will pay off with the support back to the municipality and with a trust, which is of a such an importance for the Residents - Municipality partnership. That equals healthy community. I really hope that Whistler will take the direction of being good for all, not for only "some".

And one more suggestion I would like to make. Please, if the Municipality consider putting an Air Quality monitor on top of our building, as we are the closest to the plan. It is the most logic place, as we are the most affected. If you put it in the middle of the neighbourhood, the received information is going to be accurate for that area and it is not going to be accurate to the amounts of emissions we get in our building. You can equal test results, but you can not equal affect on humans from emissions. It is very logic and a change of the location of the Air Quality monitor should be considered.

Thank you very much for your time!

Kind Regards, Ralitsa Nikolova

307-1310 Cloudburst Drive Whistler

P.S. I have attached one more photo from my archives.