Mayors of British Columbia's municipalities (per emails) and

Metro Vancouver Climate Action Committee Members:

Dominato, Lisa (C) - Vancouver

Johnstone, Patrick (VC) - New Westminster

Baillie, Tim - Langley Township

Berry, Ken – Lions Bay

Bose, Mike - Surrey

Carr, Adriane - Vancouver

Gu, Alison - Burnaby Lahti

Meghan - Port Moody

Marsden, Dennis - Coquitlam

McCutcheon, Jen - Electoral Area A

McNulty, Bill - Richmond

Pope, Catherine – North Vancouver District

Ross, Jamie - Belcarra

Ruimy, Dan - Maple Ridge

Wallace, Rosemary - Langley City

(A) On Monday, September 9/24 this came to vote at the City of Surrey council's Public Hearing, as reported by Now-Leader's Tom

Zytaruk https://www.surreynowleader.com/local-news/surreys-proposed-change-to-streamside-protection-policy-slammed-7528453

Surrey's proposed change to streamside protection policy slammed

'It degrades, it destroys, it goes backwards,' Deb Jack of Surrey Environmental Partners said of the proposed policy change

(B) October 2023, it was Clr Bose who seconded Clr Kooner's motion regarding bringing this forward. (page

40/41) https://www.surrey.ca/sites/default/files/minutes/MIN_RCPH_2023_10_30.pdf "Moved by Councillor Kooner Seconded by Councillor Bose

That Council:

- 1. Direct staff to review:
- (a) How the City's environmental policies, including part 7A streamside protection of the zoning bylaw and the sensitive ecosystems development permits, can be better aligned with the provincial regulations;
- (b) The scope and role of the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) and commenting on development applications; and

- (c) Policies and procedures, regulating erosion and sediment control measures on development sites.
- 2. Direct staff to report back with recommended changes to bylaws, policies and procedures before the end of December 2023 and to advance development applications for residential buildings of six stories or less, commercial and industrial buildings, to Council without first referring them to ADP until this report is received by Council. Carried"

This motion---to comply with provincial stream setbacks---is a *degradation* of Surrey's present bylaws.

Have you---as representatives at Metro Vancouver for your councils; <u>and</u>, you mayors of B.C. jurisdictions---considered the ramifications of this City of Surrey's motion on the decisions of your councils?

Since the setback changes to provincial standards could be up to ten metres less than City of Surrey's present bylaws, are taxpayers going to be faced with massive buy-back expenses?

