

RESORT MUNICIPALITY OF WHISTLER

 4325 Blackcomb Way
 TEL
 604 932 5535

 Whistler, BC Canada V8E 0X5
 TF
 1 866 932 5535

 whistler.ca
 FAX
 604 935 8109

PROTECTION OF RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEMS

· designated for protection of the natural environment, its ecosystems and biological diversity

(a) Any proposed development in the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area should be located so as to avoid any damaging impact to the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area and efforts should be made to protect and enhance the natural features of the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area, including the tree cover and vegetation, drainage patterns and landforms.

Site investigation by Cascade Environmental found that Lot 2A contains no sensitive ecosystems, but it identifies a wetland (Wetland #3) that connects to the Cheakamus River. Lot 2A does not lie within the 30m Riparian Assessment Area of Cheakamus River, but a portion of the Wetland #3 Streamside Protection and Enhancement Area (SPEA) and Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area (REPA) intrudes into the northern part of Lot 2A.

The Lot 2A building development is located outside of the Wetland #3 SPEA and is located to avoid any impact to vegetated areas of the REPA. Approximately 243 m2 of the proposed development is located within the REPA of Wetland #3, however this area of intrusion is within an area that was previously cleared and grubbed in and around an historic FSR alignment. As such, no damaging impact to the Wetland #3 REPA will occur from the proposed development, and the existing natural features of the REPA will be protected. The REPA will be enhanced once development is complete by revegetating currently disturbed areas of the REPA with native riparian vegetation at the direction of the project QEP.

- (b) New structures on a parcel should be located as far away from the stream as is possible or feasible and in any event as far away from the stream as existing permanent structures, if any, on the parcel.
- There are no existing permanent structures on Lot 2A. The Lot 2A building development is located outside of the Wetland #3 SPEA and is located to avoid any impact to vegetated areas of the REPA. The proposed development encroaches by 243 m² into the REPA into an existing disturbed area, all existing vegetated areas of the Wetland #3 REPA will be preserved.
- (c) Applicants may be required to submit an environmental impact study, prepared by a *QEP*, to identify any potential issues relating to the proposed *development* and its impacts on the *Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area* and relating to protection, preservation and enhancement of the *Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area*, and to identify any mitigative measures that should be undertaken.
- The Cheakamus Crossing area was subjected to a Canadian Environmental Assessment Act review and determined, with measures, to have no significant adverse impacts associated with development of the site.

Applicant has submitted an Environmental Impact Study prepared by Cascade Environmental and dated December 19, 2022.

The following will be conditions contained within Development Permit DP001925:

 (a) Development of the site to be carried out per the recommendations and conclusions of the Environmental Impact Study (Cascade Environmental, December 19, 2022).



(d) Where land and/or natural vegetation No land or natural vegetation within the 0-15 within 15 metres of the high water mark of metres SPEA boundary of Wetland #3 will be a stream is or may be disturbed or disturbed or damaged by the development of Lot damaged due to proposed development, 2A. A fence and a rock stack wall will be installed the applicant may be required to provide on the SPEA of Wetland #3 to prevent any impact habitat compensation for the portion of the within the protected setback. area that will be affected. A habitat The perimeter of the wetland will be surrounded by compensation plan may need to be construction fencing during all times to ensure it coordinated with or prepared by the QEP isn't disturbed. Silt fence will be placed to minimize and based on a legal survey prepared by a sediment runoff into the wetland. certified B.C. Land Surveyor. The following will be conditions contained within Development Permit DP001925: (a) Prior to initiation of works on Lot 2, construction fencing along with a rock stack wall must be installed on the SPEA boundary of Wetland #3 in the northern portion of Lot 2A. the fencing shall be inspected by the Environmental Monitor prior to commencement of works. The fencing must also be maintained throughout all development activities and should encompass the full length of the SPEA boundary. (e) To determine the location of the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area on a parcel, The project QEP has identified the high-water mark the applicant may be required to confirm, of Wetland #3 in relation to Lot 2A, and the 15 with the assistance of a QEP and metres SPEA and the 30 metres RAA/REPA illustrated by certified legal survey, the 30 setbacks delineated. Shown on Map 3 of the metre distance from the high water mark of Environmental Impact Study. the stream in relation to property lines and existing and proposed development. (f) Development permits issued may vary This Development Permit does not include applicable zoning or parking regulations, variances to any zoning or parking regulations. including but not limited to minimum The area proposed for development within the setback requirements, to prevent Wetland #3 REPA is previously disturbed and disturbance to land and/or natural contains no natural vegetation. Once development is completed, disturbed areas within the REPA will vegetation within the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area. be rehabilitated with native riparian vegetation at the direction of the project QEP where possible. (g) Development permits issued may require (i) habitat and trees or other Existing habitat within the REPA will be preserved vegetation within the Riparian during development. The area of the REPA Ecosystem Protection Area be proposed for development does not contain natural preserved or enhanced in vegetation or habitat. accordance with the permit; Development within Lot 2A will not impact streams or fish. Impact to wildlife species that may occur on (ii) the timing and sequence of site will be minimized by timing of development so development occur within specific that works occur outside of the songbird nesting dates or construction window to



	ninimize impact to <i>streams</i> , fish or vildlife species;	season, and a raptor nest survey will be conducted prior to development activities.
co e m vo si u: in	pecific development works or onstruction techniques (e.g., rosion and sediment control neasures, fencing off of trees or egetation, permanent fencing, ignage and access controls) be sed to ensure minimal or no inpact to the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area;	Provincial Best Practices for development will be used in the construction phase of the project. A Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR) Assessment Report was filled with the Province and approved by the Province. The RAPR report imposes measures that must be adhered to for protection from erosion, preservation of vegetation and access controls. The perimeter of the wetland will be surrounded by construction fencing during all times to ensure it isn't disturbed. Silt fence will be placed to minimize sediment runoff into the wetland. All areas within the REPA that contain wildlife
(iv) m	(iv) mitigation measures (e.g., removal of impervious surfaces, replanting of riparian species) be undertaken to reduce impact or restore habitat	
P	vithin the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area;	habitat will be protected during development. The existing disturbed areas of the REPA will be rehabilitated where possible once complete
e p u m	any species at risk habitat and/or cosystems at risk is identified rotection measures be ndertaken that follow best hanagement practices for the pecies or ecosystem at risk;	development is done. The following will be conditions contained within Development Permit DP001925: (b) Development of the site to be carried out per the recommendations and conclusions
th	ne recommendations contained in ne environmental impact study be ollowed;	of the Environmental Impact Study (Cascade Environmental, December 19, 2022). (c) All Vaccinium found wild on the property shall be removed. (d) Prior to initiation of works on Lot 2, construction fencing along with a rock stack wall must be installed on the SPEA boundary of Wetland #3 in the northern portion of Lot 2A. the fencing shall be inspected by the Environmental Monitor prior to commencement of works. The fencing must also be maintained throughout all development activities and should encompass the full length of the SPEA boundary. (e) Any tree or vegetation removal that will occur in the bird nesting window (April 1 – September 1) will require a QEP or environmental professional to conduct bird nest surveys prior to any vegetation clearing or removal. Any active nest found must be retained as per s. 34 BC Wildlife Act with an adequate buffer.
d	ecurity in the form of a cash eposit or letter of credit be rovided to secure satisfactory	Under Multi-Family Residential DPA, a landscape security in the amount of 135% of the estimated



completion of habitat protection works, restoration measures, habitat compensation or other works for the protection of the Riparian Ecosystem Protection Area (the "required works"). This security shall be in the amount of 110 per cent of the estimated value of the required works.

costs of all hard and soft landscape works is required prior to DP issuance, consistent with Council policy.

Issuance of DP001925 will be subject to addressing the above matter to the satisfaction of the General Manager.

(viii) security in the form of a cash deposit or letter of credit be provided to secure recovery of the cost of any works, construction or other activities with respect to the correction of any damage to the environment that results as a consequence of a contravention of any protection of riparian ecosystem development permit. The security taken pursuant to subsection (vii) may constitute the security for the purpose of this subsection, and shall not be released until damage, if any, has

been remediated



PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE ECOSYSTEMS

• designated for protection of the natural environment, its ecosystems and biological diversity

•	 designated for protection of the natural e 	nvironment, its ecosystems and biological diversity
	Applicants should engage a <i>QEP</i> to identify and determine the boundaries of any <i>Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area</i> on the parcel, identify any potential issues and impacts relating to the proposed <i>development</i> , provide recommendations on avoiding the <i>Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area</i> , or, if encroachment is unavoidable, minimizing encroachment and providing compensatory habitat.	
(b)	Efforts should be made to locate development away from any Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area.	
(c)	Without limiting subsection (b) above, proposed <i>development</i> should be located and designed so as to minimize any alteration, damage or disruption to any <i>Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area</i> and efforts should be made to protect and enhance natural tree cover and vegetation, drainage patterns and landforms.	Not applicable. No Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Areas (SEPAs) were identified in Lot 2A.
(d)	New structures on a parcel should be located as far away from any Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area as possible and as far away from any Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area as any existing permanent structures, if any, on the parcel.	
(e)	Plan, design and implement development in a manner that will not lessen the natural function of any Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area including by removing vegetation, altering surface water and groundwater regimes and flood mitigation capacity, and separating habitat from upland or adjacent habitat.	
(f)	Ensure that <i>core forest</i> habitat and <i>CWH</i> forest continue to provide interior conditions unaffected by nearby human activity.	No core forest habitat, no unmanaged CWH forest greater than 300 years old occurs on the site and no Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Areas (SEPAs) were identified in Lot 2A.
(g)	Consider variance of applicable zoning or parking regulations to prevent alteration,	No Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Areas (SEPAs) were identified in Lot 2A.



	damage or disruption to any Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area.	This Development Permit application does not include any variances to the zoning or parking bylaw.
(h)	Where any Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area is disturbed or damaged due to development, the applicant may be required to provide habitat compensation for the portion of the Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area that will be affected. A habitat compensation plan may need to be coordinated with or prepared by the QEP and based on a legal survey prepared by a certified B.C. Land Surveyor. On-site habitat compensation is preferred but not mandatory. Habitat compensation may involve either or both restoration of existing habitat and creation of new habitat.	No Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Areas (SEPAs) were identified in Lot 2A. The Environmental Management Plan includes recommendation with regards to revegetation and landscape restoration. QEP will monitor the site through the period of construction and will provide monthly reports to the RMOW.
(j)	Development permits issued may require that:	
(i)	the Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area be protected or enhanced in accordance with the permit;	No Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Areas (SEPAs) were identified in Lot 2A. The following will be conditions contained within
(ii)		The following will be conditions contained within Development Permit DP001925: (a) Development of the site to be carried out per the recommendations and conclusions of the Environmental
(iii)	specific development works or construction techniques (e.g., erosion and sediment control measures, fencing off of trees or vegetation, permanent fencing, signage and access controls) be used to ensure minimal or no impact to the Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area;	Impact Study (Cascade Environmental, December 19, 2022) (b) All Vaccinium found wild on the property to be removed (c) Prior to initiation of works on Lot 2, construction fencing along with a rock stack wall must be installed on the SPEA boundary of Wetland #3 in the
(iv)	mitigation measures (e.g., removal of impervious surfaces, replanting of riparian species) be undertaken to reduce impact or restore habitat within the Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area;	northern portion of Lot 2A. the fencing shall be inspected by the Environmental Monitor prior to commencement of works. The fencing must also be maintained throughout all development activities and should encompass the full
(v)	if any species at risk habitat and/or ecosystems at risk is identified protection measures be undertaken that follow best management practices for the species or ecosystem at risk;	length of the SPEA boundary. (d) Any tree or vegetation removal that will occur in the bird nesting window (April 1 – September 1) will require a QEP or environmental professional to conduct



(vi) any streams not identified on Schedule J		
be protected consistently with the		
Protection of Riparian Ecosystems DPA		
guidelines;		

bird nest surveys prior to any vegetation clearing or removal. Any active nest found must be retained as per s. 34 BC Wildlife Act with an adequate buffer.

- (vii) the recommendations contained in the environmental impact study and/or habitat compensation plan be followed;
- (viii) security in the form of a cash deposit or letter of credit be provided to secure satisfactory completion of habitat protection works, restoration measures, habitat compensation or other works for the protection of the Sensitive Ecosystem Protection Area (the "required works"). This security shall be in the amount of 110 per cent of the estimated value of the required works
- (ix) security in the form of a cash deposit or letter of credit be provided to secure recovery of the cost of any works, construction or other activities with respect to the correction of any damage to the environment that results as a consequence of a contravention of any protection of sensitive ecosystems development permit. The security taken pursuant to subsection (viii) may constitute the security for the purpose of this subsection, and shall not be released until damage, if any, has been remediated.

Under Multi-Family Residential DPA, a landscape security in the amount of 135% of the estimated costs of all hard and soft landscape works is required prior to DP issuance, consistent with Council policy.

Issuance of DP001925 will be subject to addressing the above matter to the satisfaction of the General Manager.



MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

 designated for the establishment of objectives for the form and character of multi-family residential development

SITE PLANNING AND BUILDING DESIGN

(a) Buildings and landscaping should be located and designed to suit natural topography, hydrology and vegetation. Creative, site sensitive solutions are encouraged. Site planning is required to minimize disturbance to natural contours and existing vegetation, and fit the context of surrounding development and natural environment. Designers should use site layout, building orientation, window placement, vegetation and landscape screening to provide visual privacy between neighbouring properties. The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline.

The proposed building site itself is previously disturbed.

The Lot 2A building development is located outside of the Wetland #3 SPEA and is located to avoid any impact to vegetated areas of the REPA. Approximately 243 m2 of the proposed development is located within the REPA of Wetland #3, however this area of intrusion is within an area that was previously cleared and grubbed in and around an historic FSR alignment. As such, no damaging impact to the Wetland #3 REPA will occur from the proposed development, and the existing natural features of the REPA will be protected.

The proposed building has a similar relationship to the adjacent buildings and is consistent with the density/proximity of the neighbouring buildings.

(b) Variances to Zoning Bylaw regulations may be considered provided they can be demonstrated to further the objectives of this OCP.

Not applicable.

This development permit application does not request any variances to the zoning bylaw.

(c) Innovative and interesting façade treatments are strongly encouraged on all apartment and townhouse buildings, to create identifiable, attractive multi-family developments. For example:

The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline.

Stepping back or providing balcony and terrace areas on the building above the

The proposed building will reflect a similar style/architecture as the 2 existing buildings on Lot 1. The scale of the proposed building is consistent with the existing buildings in the Cheakamus Crossing Phase 2 neighbourhood.

(ii) Use of a variety of colours, roof lines, architectural features and building materials. Large areas of unvaried material are strongly discouraged.

ground floor.

This project has been reviewed and supported by the Advisory Design Panel. See Panel comments and minutes from June 15, 2022 and February 15, 2023. Staff are of the opinion that the applicant adequately addressed the Panel's comments with respect to these guidelines.

(iii) Use of building colours complementary to neighbouring buildings or identifiable with the area. Colours should be muted and consist of natural colours found in the Whistler setting. Limited use of complementary accent colours for focal points or architectural features is encouraged.

Building materials, finishes and systems are proposed to be modest and the proposed palette suggest a strong relationship with the existing site neutral colors and textures. The



	materials and exterior finishes proposed include: White Finex Cement Panel Fascia Arctic White Hardie Panel PVC Windows and Doors – White and Black Frosted Glass Guardrails Finex Cement Panel Cladding – Colour Cement / Regent grey flashing Charcoal Hardie Panel Standing Seam Cladding – Weathered Copper and matching flashing Finex Cement Panel Base Cast in Place Concrete Base
(d) Building materials should be sufficiently durable to withstand Whistler's harsh climate.	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline. Building materials, finishes, and systems are proposed to be durable.
(e) Innovative and interesting roof designs are strongly encouraged on all buildings, to create identifiable, attractive developments. For example: (i) Roof forms should be modulated to reduce the apparent bulk of a building and to create more visual interest. Roof colour should be generally neutral or muted in order to blend with the natural landscape. (ii) Snow and drainage from roofs should not be dumped onto adjoining streets or properties. Protect all pedestrian and vehicle access points from snow shed and ice accumulation. (iii) Roof mounted equipment should be integrated with the overall roof design and adequately screened so it is concealed to the greatest extent possible from pedestrian viewpoints. (iv) Roof designs which incorporate evolving technology and best practices for stormwater management and energy systems are encouraged within the context of other building design guidelines.	The proposal is considered to be consistent with these guidelines. The proposed building roof is flat and includes 5 drains to collect drainage from roof. The roof form is less modulated than the ones on the existing buildings of Lot 1.
(f) Provide usable, public and private open spaces to create opportunities for recreation and social activity, and provide buffers between uses.	The building and site plans submitted with DP001925 include undefined outdoor open spaces that could be used to create opportunities for recreation and social activities.
(g) Incorporate design elements that address the functional needs of persons with disabilities, including those who are mobility, visually and	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline. The proposed building has an elevator and accessible pathways and accesses on all floors. The proposal also includes two universally accessible parking



hearing impaired, or have reduced strength or	stalls. One located in the underground
dexterity.	parkade and the other located in front of the
	main entrance of the building.

ACCESS, PARKING AND WASTE FACILITIES

CLOO	, FARMING AND WASTE LACILITIES	
(a)	Access roads to parking areas should be constructed at minimum available grade differentials.	Driveway access to surface and building entrance is laid out to minimize road grades and to reflect the existing grade of the site to establish ground plane continuity.
(b)	The majority of apartment building parking should be provided in parking structures beneath the buildings.	All of the proposed apartment building parking is provided on one level of underground parking underneath the building. Short term convenience (visitor) parking is provided as surface parking.
(c)	Townhouse parking may be a combination of covered parking attached to or within the dwelling unit, surface clusters, and underground parking as site conditions permit.	Not applicable. No townhouses are proposed as part of this development permit application.
(d)	Surface parking and loading areas should be situated appropriately in accordance with parking, loading and landscaping	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline.
	requirements.	Building and site plans submitted with DP001925 show that parking and loading plans are in accordance with requirements.
(e)	Surface parking should be screened and enhanced with landscaping and berms.	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline.
		Site and landscape plans submitted with DP001925 show that surface parking is enhanced with landscaping.
(f)	Parking areas should provide adequate areas for snow storage and drainage.	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline
(g)	All accessible parking spaces should be located as close as possible to building entrances.	The proposal is considered to be consistent with these guidelines. All proposed accessible parking spaces (short term and long term) are located as close as possible to the building entrances. One located in the underground parkade and the other located in front of the main entrance of the building.
(h)	Bicycle storage facilities should be provided within buildings for residents' use.	All apartments have a bike storage room and a space for bike storage is provided in the underground parkade.
(i)	Solid waste storage should be designed as an integral element of the <i>development</i> —contained within the building or suitably screened and complementary to overall	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline. A waste management room is provided within the underground parkade.



	building design, and adequately sized to meet	
	the needs of uses on site.	
	the fields of uses off site.	
EXTERIO	OR LIGHTING	
(a)	Outdoor lighting should be used for safe	
	pedestrian passage and property identification	
	firstly. Seasonal festive lighting and limited	
	architectural and landscape feature lighting is	
	permitted.	
(b)	Illumination levels should be of sufficient	The proposal is considered to be consistent
	intensity to provide safe pedestrian mobility	with these guidelines.
	but not overpower the nightscape. Use warm	
	lighting.	
(c)	Direct light downward by choosing the correct	
, ,	type of light fixture. Acceptable fixtures are full	
	cut-off and fully shielded fixtures that shield the light source to reduce glare	
	the light source to reduce glare	
SIGNAG	E	
(a)	All signage associated with multi-family	
	developments should be designed to be	
	architecturally consistent with associated	
	buildings.	
		The proposal is considered to be consistent
(b)	All signage must also meet the requirements	with these guidelines.
	of the Sign Bylaw, except that the bylaw	with these guidelines.
	requirements may be varied to authorize	
	signs that are demonstrated to better achieve	
	the overall objectives of these form and	
	character guidelines.	
FENCING	G	
(a)	Fencing is generally discouraged but may be	
	used where necessary, along with vegetative	
	planting, to limit public access to utilities or	
	dangerous areas.	
(b)	Fence design should be appropriate to its	The proposal is considered to be consistent
	function, location and context in the	with these guidelines.
	neighbourhood. Fences should be of a high	No permanent fences are proposed on site.
	quality, reflecting and extending the building	The permanent remove are proposed on site.
	details and integrated with landscaping to	
	minimize their visual impact.	
(c)	The use of chain link fencing is discouraged,	
	and such fencing should not be visible from	
	pedestrian areas, a street or a highway.	
LANDSC	APING	
	Landscaping is a major, integral part of a	Although the immediate building footprint area
	project design and planting should emphasize	has been previously disturbed, an effort has
<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 2, 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2



	the natural setting while enabling solar access into residential units.	been made to preserve the surrounding tree buffer vegetation
(b)	Landscaping should be able to withstand Whistler's harsh climatic conditions and be coordinated with adjacent landscaping.	Plant material chosen is suitable for Whistler. The mixture includes hearty and native species, with a preference for drought tolerant species.
(c)	Properties adjacent to Highway 99 should maintain a 20 metre wide landscaped area adjacent to the Highway 99 right-of-way that is densely clustered to simulate the scale and variety of forest plantings in order to integrate with the surrounding trees and natural setting.	Not applicable. The project site is not located adjacent to highway 99.
(d)	Wherever possible, mature trees should be preserved and integrated with new landscaping	Although the immediate building footprint area has been previously disturbed, an effort has been made to preserve the surrounding tree buffer vegetation
(e)	Landscaped areas with the capacity to infiltrate and accommodate stormwater, such as planting beds and grassed areas, are encouraged to reduce stormwater runoff from surface parking lots and rooftops. The use of permeable paving materials for parking lots and other paved surfaces should also be considered.	The proposal is considered to be consistent with this guideline.
(f)	Use plant species suited to the local climate, requiring minimal irrigation, which also provide dynamic seasonal interest	Plant material chosen is suitable for whistler. The mixture includes hearty and native species, with a preference for drought tolerant species.

STREETSCAPE

(a) Pedestrian areas, including sidewalks and pathways located on or adjacent to the site, should be an appropriate width, in terms of expected pedestrian volumes. The width The proposal is considered to be consistent should accommodate unencumbered yearwith these guidelines. round travel for both pedestrians and persons Mount Fee Road and Valley Trail standards with accessibility challenges. Consideration were established via the Cheakamus Crossing should be given to snow clearing and snow Phase 2 rezoning and the Phase 2 lands storage areas. subdivision and servicing agreement. (b) Building entrances should be directly Mount Fee Road capacity and Valley Trail accessed from sidewalks, parking lots and have been designed to accommodate a project pedestrian pathways as seamlessly as of this scale and density. possible from the street. Grade changes between sidewalks, squares, outdoor seating

areas, transit stops and other pedestrian areas should also be minimized and designed



to accommodate the needs of persons with disabilities.

- (c) Building entrances, lobbies, stairs, corridors and exterior walkways should be designed to accommodate people wearing ski boots and carrying bulky equipment. Extra width, gentle pedestrian access grades, more generous steps, and heavier more durable materials should be provided.
- (d) Pathways and trails providing links to other non-motorized networks are encouraged.



WILDFIRE PROTECTION

designated for the protection of *development* from hazardous conditions; specifically protection from wildfire

ALL AREAS

The following guidelines apply to all areas shown on Schedule S:

(a) Where a distance is specified by these guidelines for the purpose of establishing an area that should be cleared or remain free of vegetation, the distance should be measured from the outermost part of the building to: (i) the distance specified in the guideline; (ii) the property line, unless permission has been granted by Understood. the adjacent property owner; or (iii) the boundary of an environmentally sensitive area unless clearing is carried out in accordance with the recommendations of a QEP and approved in writing by the municipality's Manager of **Environmental Stewardship** whichever is closer. (b) Where the municipality receives a FireSmart® Assessment in respect of a The following condition will be contained within Development Permit DP001925: property which is the subject of an application for a development permit (a) Adhere to and follow all under this section, the municipality may recommendations outlined in the choose to apply, as permit conditions, the FireSmart® Assessment Report recommendations of the report instead prepared by Diamondhead Consulting of, or in addition to, the guidelines in this on November 29, 2022. (c) Where these guidelines warrant tree removal preference should be given to: (i) retaining the largest and healthiest trees; Understood. (ii) removing coniferous vegetation located closest to principal buildings; and (iii) retaining deciduous trees and vegetation.



WILDLAND AREAS

In areas shown as "Wildland" on Schedule S, the following guidelines apply:

- (a) For new development a FireSmart®

 Assessment indicating that the proposed development has a hazard score of "Low" or "Moderate" is required and a development permit may require that development be carried out in accordance with any recommendations of the report including:
 - recommendations related to areas of the land that should be cleared or remain clear of vegetation;
 - (ii) recommendations related to landscaping, including locations of plantings, surface treatments, plant and tree species, thinning and maintenance;
 - (iii) recommendations for particular building materials and features; and
 - (iv) recommendations pertaining to the location of structures in relation to other structures, or topographical or natural features that are wildfire hazards.

A FireSmart® Assessment Report prepared by Diamondhead Consulting was submitted with DP001925. The assessment found that the adjacent forest had a low wildfire threat rating and that the development would have a "low wildfire risk rating" if the report recommendations are followed.

The following condition will be contained within Development Permit DP001925:

(a) Adhere to and follow all recommendations outlined in the FireSmart® Assessment Report prepared by Diamondhead Consulting on November 29, 2022.